IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA STATESVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS

BERNARD von NOTHAUS,

Defendant.

)

5:09CR27

JULY 14, 2010

)

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONICALLY-RECORDED BOND HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID S. CAYER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE UNITED STATES MARK ODULIO, ESQ.

U. S. Attorney's Office

227 W. Trade Street

Suite 1700

Charlotte, NC 28202

FOR THE DEFENDANT AARON E. MICHEL, ESQ.

3736 Surry Ridge Court Charlotte, NC 28210

Proceedings digitally recorded and transcript prepared by:

JOY KELLY, RPR, CRR
U. S. Official Court Reporter
Charlotte, North Carolina
704-350-7495

WEDNESDAY, JULY 14, 2010

(Hearing commenced 2:24:01 p.m.)

THE COURT: This is United States v. Bernard von NotHaus. And this is before the Court on a violation filed by the Probation Office on June 7th, 2010. And the Court has reviewed the violation report. Does the government wish to present any evidence?

MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, at this point we'd stand on the submission by Pretrial Services.

THE COURT: Do you want to make any argument, Mr. Odulio?

MR. ODULIO: Just briefly, Your Honor.

As set forth in the violation report, I think the Court's October 16, 2009, order makes it plain that the defendant was prohibited from minting or producing any coinage or currency, and from circulating any coinage or currency that has not been produced by the United States Mint.

As set forth in the violation report, the defendant is continuing to maintain a website, hawaiidala.com, and as reflected in the violation report, it is offering for sale various products, including what appear to be Hawaiian coins.

So just as a threshold matter, it does appear that by continuing to operate and maintain the website, that

there may be a potential violation. We would ask the Court to make inquiry, as reflected in, I think, defense counsel's filing, he did point out that the website, there's no way that someone on the website can actually acquire, purchase a coin on the website. But based on our review of the website, there is some contact information where a purchaser can submit an order. So that's all the government has.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Michel.

MR. MICHEL: And, Your Honor, our proffer would be pretty much as I represented in our motion to quash, and Mr. Von NotHaus is willing to address the Court on these specific issues.

But that website has been dormant since last year, and there's some issues arising last fall, I believe, that being addressed in the October of 16th order. I think primarily at that point it was an issue of --

THE COURT: Which website are you saying has been dormant? He's got a number of websites.

MR. MICHEL: There are two websites: One is the Liberty Dollar website, and the other one is the Hawaii Dala website, and both are there. They have been there, they have been known to the parties, and the issue that came before the Court last fall was there was an order for some Tea Party medallions that Mr. von NotHaus --

THE COURT: Let me get back to my question: Which

website do you see is dormant now?

MR. MICHEL: Well, definitely the Hawaii Dala has been dormant. There's been no -- sort of like out of sight, out of mind for Mr. von NotHaus. He hasn't done anything with that. And we've got some records from his IT guy that support that. But nobody raised any issues about it. Nobody questioned it.

When he appealed Your Honor's decision about the modification of the order, and then the subsequent clarification, that, yes, that order applied to the Tea Party medallions, and after that -- and an appeal was filed and denied by Judge Voorhees after the status conference in December, I don't know if Your Honor is familiar with the appeal and the status conference, but the status conference dealt with some pretrial issues, primarily some venue issues, but it also dealt with the question of the Liberty Dollar website.

And I think the government's concern, I believe the government had been in conversation with Deke Falls to try to resolve those issues, and they were addressed at that time. And I just kind of laid out the framework for what he would be satisfied with as far as what could stay on the Liberty Dollar website; what had to come off.

And I've reviewed the correspondence between
Mr. von NotHaus and Deke. And this man's always wanted to

comply with whatever the requirements are. "Explain what they are and I'll do them." And you see that in the exchange of e-mails. And he made the change to the Liberty Dollar website, and as far as he knows, there's no objection to that.

Now, there's an issue about Hawaii Dala. I think it's really a matter of he moved back home to Hawaii so that he had better prospects for finding a place to live and a job to work at. And the pretrial officer out there got involved and looked into the matter and had a question about the Hawaii Dala website. And it's just the new guy getting involved and looking at, fresh set of eyes looking at it, asking questions -- which is fine, we're not trying to hide anything -- but there's been no activity with regard to that website.

I think, as I pointed out in my motion, there's no click-and-order feature ever for that. You have to send in a request, an order.

THE COURT: On that website or anywhere?

MR. MICHEL: On the Hawaii Dala or anywhere could you click and order as far as the defendant's aware of.

Like I said, it's been out of sight, out of mind for him because nobody raised the issue until it got to pretrial in Hawaii and they asked about it and he responded honestly about it. So I'm not sure what -- how this would constitute

new concerns, if there are new concerns, because now we're focused on that.

We're willing to address those concerns and do whatever it takes to satisfy the Court that he's not doing anything while on pretrial release. It's his desire to stay out of trouble. And I've seen that in the correspondence between him and Deke Falls.

Does the Court have any specific questions that -THE COURT: I don't have questions. I'll hear
whatever you want to present, Mr. Michel, because as I said,
he is in a position where the Probation Office has reported
an alleged violation, and the Court has to determine whether
or not that's a violation. So whatever you want me to
consider, I'll be glad to consider it.

MR. MICHEL: Okay. Well, this is a very serious matter for the defendant. His liberty is at stake, so I guess if the Court is -- we would present some testimony to support that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MICHEL: Do you want to go up and take the stand?

DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Sure.

THE COURT: Sir, before you begin testifying, I want to remind you that you have charges pending against you.

DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Yes, sir. 1 2 THE COURT: And as I'm sure you have been advised 3 at other points in these proceedings, you have a right to remain silent and anything you say may be used against you. 4 Do you understand that right? 5 6 DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: And if you choose to testify, that's 8 your choice. That's entirely up to you. You should talk to 9 your attorney and consider what his recommendations are, but 10 ultimately the decision about testifying is yours. DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Yes, sir. 11 12 THE COURT: But if you chose to testify, then the United States Attorney will get to cross-examine you. 13 14 you understand that? 15 DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: All right. All right, Mr. Michel. BERNARD VON NOTHAUS 17 being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. MICHEL 21 Did you have a conversation with the Pretrial Services 22 officer in Hawaii at some point about the Hawaii Dala 23 website? 24 No, sir. Α

The pretrial officer out there, who is that?

25

O

- 1 A His name is Eric Iverson.
- 2 Q Iverson?
- 3 A Iverson. I-V-E-R-S-O-N.
- 4 Q And did you have contact with him?
- A I reported to him as directed, and subsequently after
 that, he visited me to see where I lived. And I reported to
 him on my monthly report. And then this -- this matter came
- 8 to our attention. He never asked me about it.
- 9 Q When you say "this matter came to our attention," how 10 did it come to your attention?
- A Well, I got to -- what did I get, an e-mail that he -
 the e-mail that Iverson wrote about is the Hawaii Dala
- website a violation to my -- terms of my appearance bond.
- 14 0 And who sent that e-mail?
- 15 | A How did I hear about it. Oh, I got a phone call.
- 16 Mr. Iverson was on vacation to Prague or Stuttgart, some
- 17 place, and somebody at pretrial called me and told me about
- 18 it. He -- I guess he e-mailed it to me. It was a pretrial
- 19 | officer in Honolulu.
- 20 Q At some point did you receive a summons?
- 21 A Yes. That's why I'm here today.
- 22 Q And when you received the summons, was there a
- 23 | violation report or notice attached to that?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Q And that described to you the questions about the

1 Hawaii Dala website?

2.

A Yes. Mr. Iverson was doing a good job. He probably Googled me or something or other on the new person assigned to him. As he explained to me with my initial appearance, that it was his duty to do whatever the North Carolina pretrial office said for him to do. He was doing his job.

So he found the website and -- I'm sorry, what was the question again? I'm rattling on.

Q At some point did someone from pretrial have a conversation with you about the Hawaii Dala website?

A No. They just sent -- they just sent that report to me. I called. He wanted to know where to send it to me and I believe he e-mailed it to me.

Q Okay. And with regard to the alleged violation report where it says that the defendant is prohibited from minting or producing any coinage or currency and from circulating any coinage or currency that has not been produced by the United States Mint. It goes on to say on, May 17th, 2010, by continuing to operate the Internet website, www.hawaiidala.com, which is utilized for a sale and distribution of Hawaii Dala, 50th Anniversary coin, was this --

A What was that date?

Q May 17th, 2010.

A Uh-huh.

- Q And to your knowledge on that date was there a Hawaii Dala website?
- A Well, yes, there was a Hawaii Dala website there.

 There is still today.
- Q And to your knowledge has the prosecutor's office objected to that being there?
- 7 **|** A No.

16

17

18

19

20

- 8 Q And to your knowledge have the Pretrial Services, up to
 9 the date that you got this e-mail, objected to that website
 10 being there?
- 11 A No. In fact, that site was mentioned in the affidavit
 12 back in 2007. The government's known about the site all
 13 that time.
- Q And is that website also mentioned in the Liberty
 Dollar website?
 - A I don't think so. I haven't spent a lot of time with the Liberty Dollar website. I haven't spent any time at all. I don't think so. To the best of my knowledge, it's not there. There's no direct link or anything that I know of, but there's a lot of pages, so -- we don't make a big deal about it.
- Q And the last -- since you have been out in Hawaii, how much activity have you had with the Liberty Dollar website?
- A I write a monthly newsletter and it's posted on the website and that's all the relationship I have with it.

- Q And were you required to make some changes to that website?
- 3 A Extensively, yes.
- 4 Q And were those changes made?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- Q Once those changes made, were there any other objections communicated to you about that website?
- 8 A No. We met the requirements and it became a nonissue.
- 9 Q To your knowledge has there been any sales or anything
 10 related to sales activity with regard to the Hawaii Dala
- 12 A None.

11

18

- 13 Q And that October 16th order was appealed. Right?
- 14 A Yes, I believe so, yes. Because it was -- dealt with
 15 Judge Voorhees when we got together in December.
- Q How frequent was your communication with your former attorney, Deke Falls, concerning compliance with the
- 19 A Which order in particular? What --

website since the October 16th order?

- Q Well, let's say from September of last year on, how
- 21 | frequent were you in communication with your former
- 22 attorney, Deke Falls, as far as compliance?
- 23 A How quickly?
- 24 Q How frequently.

pretrial order?

25 A How frequently. Well, quite frequently. Sometimes as

- often as several times within a day. We were back and forth on a particular issue explaining things, and then sometimes there's lapses of a couple weeks because there's no issue to
- 4 deal with. It's a matter of feast and famine.
- Q Okay. And did you comply with everything that your former attorney requested of you as far as being in compliance with pretrial?
- 8 A Absolutely.
- 9 Q Was there an issue that you refused to comply with?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q And did you obtained some activity -- you report
- 12 | information from the -- concerning the website?
- 13 A Activity report regarding which website?
- 14 | Q The Hawaii Dala website?
- 15 A Oh, yeah. Right. I went and I contacted our IT person
- 16 and asked him for a report on the activity to show that
- 17 | there hadn't been any activity.
- 18 MR. MICHEL: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

20 | BY MR. MICHEL

- Q I'm going to hand you a copy of Defense Exhibit 1. Ask
- 22 you if you recognize that?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q What is that?
- 25 A That is the activity report I requested of the Hawaii

- 1 Dala website from our IT person.
- 2 | Q And what does that report indicate?
- A It reports that there's no activity and there's no historical data because it ranks so low in terms of website
- 5 activities. He have notes here that it is ranked No.
- 6 10,392,504, and he goes on to say, in other words, there are
- 7 | 10,392,503 websites that have more activity than Hawaii
- 8 Dala. There's virtually no activity.
- 9 | Q Okay.
- 10 MR. MICHEL: Your Honor, we would offer that up to 11 the Court for the Court consideration.
- 12 THE COURT: It's received.
- 13 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 received.)

| BY MR. MICHEL

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q You heard the prosecutor's concern about the website offering to sell the Hawaii Dala saying that while you can't click and buy it, that there's information about where you can send to order it. What can you tell the Court about your personal knowledge about what's on that website about that?
- A Well, there were abilities to order Hawaii Dalas before the October 16th ruling. And then after that, I was very concerned so that I would not be in violation of the terms of my appearance bond, so I -- somebody else said they would take any orders, but they were going to do that on-line, but

- they never got a merchant account so you couldn't order on-line so they never took any orders. There's never been any orders.
 - Q Okay.

4

7

8

9

10

5 MR. MICHEL: No more questions.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Odulio.

MR. ODULIO: Just briefly, Your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. ODULIO

- Q Good afternoon, sir.
- 11 A Good afternoon.
- 12 Q I think Defense Exhibit 1 you indicated there was no activity. Isn't that right?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- Q But doesn't the e-mail, Defense Exhibit 1, indicates
- 16 | that --
- 17 | A Let me correct that just for a second. There may have
- 18 been someone go to the website, but it didn't show up in the
- 19 report. In other words, you could have gone to the website
- 20 and it wouldn't have showed up on the report.
- 21 Q Defense Exhibit 1, sir, take a look at that. Do you
- 22 have it in front of you?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And I'll direct your attention to the third sentence.
- 25 If you could read that aloud?

- 1 A The third sentence?
 - Q Yes, sir.

2

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- 3 A "Of all the websites Hawaiidala.com."
 - Q It's the one before that, sir?
- A "This shows a site that did not receive very many hits but does not give you any specific traffic data."
- Q Okay. So the website did receive some hits. Isn't that right, sir?
 - A Yes, sir. Because if you did a search on Hawaii, for example, then it would have received a hit. Now, that may not show up until page 240 in your search results, because if you did a search on just the word "Hawaii," then it lists all the sites on the Internet that have the world "Hawaii" in it. So it could receive some hits and it probably did receive some hits, because if it's listed in the search results, then that constitutes a hit. But that doesn't mean that somebody went hundreds of pages deep into the search results and went to the site.
 - Q Okay. But nonetheless, sir, you'd agree that this website is still available and active?
 - A Yes, sir. No one's ever asked me to take it down and no one's ever brought it to my attention after the ruling of October 16th. It was simply a matter of out of sight, out of mine. I never thought about it.
- 25 Q Well, someone is bringing to your attention now. Isn't

- 1 | that right?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. The website has an address that's provided to

viewers where you can mail your order with payment. Are you

- 5 | familiar with that, sir?
- 6 A Yes, I am.
- 7 | Q In fact, it says, "You can mail your order with payment
- 8 to Silver Liberty Marketing, POB 2105, Indianapolis, Indiana
- 9 46206."

- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. And nowhere on the website does it inform the
- 12 reader that this coin is no longer available for purchase.
- 13 | Isn't that right?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And I think -- you made a claim with your counsel --
- 16 | you clearly understood the Court's October 16, 2009, order.
- 17 **∥** Is that right?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q In fact, as you testified on direct, you were in
- 20 | frequent communication with your prior counsel, up to
- 21 several times a day. Isn't that right?
- 22 A On some occasions, yes, sir.
- 23 Q So the order by Judge Cayer that prohibited you from
- 24 | minting or producing any coinage or currency and from
- 25 | circulating or coinage or currency that has not been

- produced by the United States Mint, you understood that very clearly. Is that right, sir?
- 3 A Yes, sir. May I mention something else about that?
- 4 Q No.

- A Okay.
- 6 Q Well, do you want to clarify something?
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 9 A Yeah. The order specifically, and it mentions it
- 10 twice, about coinage and currency, and the 2009 Hawaii Dala,
- 11 which is the subject of our focus here, I believe, does not
- 12 have a denomination on it. So as a numismatic item, in
- 13 other words, any coin, currency, medals, everything that
- 14 deals with -- money, tokens, paper money -- if it doesn't
- 15 have a denomination on it, it can't be a coin or a currency.
- 16 For it to be a coin or currency, it must have a
- 17 denomination. And my former attorney Deke Falls --
- 18 Q Sir, I don't want to go into anything of what your
- 19 | attorney says, advised you. I don't want to go into that.
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q Let me ask you: Has there been any changes to the
- 22 | Hawaii Dala website in the last several weeks?
- 23 A No, sir.
- 24 Q Specifically, were any photos or pictures of the coins
- 25 removed recently from the website? Were you aware of that?

- 1 A I don't believe so.
- 2 | Q Okay.
- 4 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 5 | BY MR. ODULIO
- 6 Q I'm handing you Government's Exhibit 1. Do you
- 7 remember that, sir?
- 8 A Yes, I am.
- 9 | Q And generally that's a screen print or a printout of
- 10 | the Hawaii Dala website?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And could you advise the Court whether or not any
- 13 pictures of the coins are displayed on that document,
- 14 | Government's Exhibit 1?
- 15 A Not when you printed it, it did not show.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A No, the images did not print.
- 18 | O Is there a date on the bottom of that document?
- 19 A Yes, sir. 7/13. The images have not been removed.
- 20 MR. ODULIO: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 21 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q I'm handing you what's been marked as Government's
- 23 Exhibit 2. Do you remember that, sir?
- 24 | A I beg your pardon?
- 25 Q Are you familiar with that document, sir?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q And Government's Exhibit 2, second page, is it a
- 3 pointout from the Hawaii Dala website?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q And on that particular printout, are the photos
- 6 displayed?
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 | Q What do the photos depict?
- 9 A It depicts the 2009 Hawaii Dala with the kala mo'i
- 10 nondenominational reverse.
- 11 | Q Okay. And advise the Court, please, of the date listed
- 12 on the bottom of that page.
- 13 | A 5/17/2010.
- 14 Q Okay. And, sir, is there a value indicated on the
- 15 currency there on the photo?
- 16 | A No, sir.
- 17 | Q There's not a value at all?
- 18 | A No, sir.
- 19 Q Can you read for the Court what's displayed on the
- 20 coin?
- 21 | A Well, I take objection to the use of the word "coin."
- 22 I will read what's on here, but this is not a coin.
- 23 Q Yes, sir.
- 24 | A On the averse it says "King Kamehameha" on one side of
- 25 the statue, and it says "Hawaii 2009" on the right-hand side

- 1 of the statue, and on the reverse it has "ua mau, ka pono,"
- 2 | which is the state and model of Hawaii. Below that it says
- 3 | "kala mo'i," and below the artwork it says "1 ounce .999
- 4 | silver."
- 5 Q So it does indicate a value of that item?
- 6 A No. There is no value on this item.
- 7 0 One ounce silver?
- 8 A That's not a value. I'm required by federal law to
- 9 denote at amount of silver that is any -- any item of
- 10 precious metal sold within the United States, I'm required
- 11 | to denote what that is: 14 karat, 18 karat, finite silver,
- 12 whatever.
- 13 Q Is that coin produced by the United States Mint?
- 14 A This is not a coin. It's not produced by the United
- 15 | States Mint.
- 16 | Q That item is not produced by the United States Mint?
- 17 A No, sir, it's not produced by the United States Mint.
- 18 Q Where is it produced?
- 19 A I believe it was produced by North American Mint.
- 20 | Q Are you getting any royalties in connection with any of
- 21 | your work related to Silver Liberty Marketing?
- 22 A Not really to Silver Liberty Marketing, but if they
- 23 used my design, I have received some royalties.
- 24 Q Okay. Explain that to the Court.
- 25 | A Well, I own the copyright of that image of Liberty

- 1 head, so if they use it, I get a royalty.
- 2 Q And when you say "they use it," does it mean that they
- 3 generated a coin or an item?
- 4 A They generate an item.
- Q Okay. And what is that item? Describe it for the
- 6 Court.
- 7 A They've used it on a couple different issues.
- 8 0 What does that mean?
- 9 A They did an issue for the second amendment. They used
- 10 | it on that.
- 11 | Q Okay. And that was sold to the public and made
- 12 | available to the public?
- 13 A The client sold it to the public, yes.
- 14 Q And by the "client," do you mean the United States
- 15 | Mint?
- 16 A No. The client who commissioned the second amendment
- 17 commemorative.
- 18 0 Describe what one of those commemoratives would look
- 19 | like or appear to a layperson?
- 20 A It -- the second amendment commemorative says "second
- 21 | amendment on the aversus. It has a Liberty head. And on
- 22 the reverse it has a 1911 handgun on it.
- 23 Q Okay. And I know you take issue with the
- 24 | characterization of a coin, but it appears -- it would look
- 25 | like a coin, would you agree with that at least?

- 1 A I can't attest to what somebody else may think it is a coin or not, sir.
- 3 Q But does it appear to be a token or some kind -- does
- 4 | it look like the picture in Government's Exhibit 2
- 5 generally?
- 6 | A In Government's Exhibit 2. Well, no, this is the
- 7 Hawaii piece here, so it doesn't look anything like that at
- 8 **|** all.
- 9 Q When you say "piece" you mean something that appears as
- 10 a photo there depicted on Government's 2?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q In other words, we're not talking about a pillow or a
- 13 plate, we're talking about a coin. Isn't that right?
- 14 A No, sir. This is not a coin.
- 15 | Q All right.
- 16 A Numismatically it can't be a coin. I have been a
- 17 | numismatist for 36 years. I know what a coin is.
- 18 Q Well, tell us what a coin is?
- 19 A A coin is something that is minted by the government
- 20 | intended to be used as money. If it's not minted by the
- 21 government, it cannot be a coin.
- 22 Q So how would you characterize that item depicted in
- 23 Government's 2?
- 24 | A Exactly -- Government 2. This one here. Exactly as
- 25 | the U. S. Mint did, it's a medallion.

- Q Okay. And to be clear, though, let's get back to the Liberty Dollar website.
 - A Yes.

- Q When the events were occurring around that caused the October 16th, 2009 order, you took steps to modify that
- 6 | Liberty Dollar website, didn't you?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- Q And one of the things you did was to stop offering
 those coins on that Liberty Dollar website. Is that right?
- 10 A We stopped before that, I believe.
- 11 Q So you had acknowledged what you were offering on that 12 website was coins?
- 13 | A No, sir.
- Q Okay. But nonetheless you modified your conduct as it
- 15 | relates to that website to comply with the Court's order,
- 16 | didn't you?
- 17 A The Court order asked to modified the website and we modified the website.
- 19 Q So your viewpoint back then was that was not a coin?
- 20 A It was never a coin.
- Q Okay. Yet nonetheless you modified the website. Isn't that right?
- 23 A It's a court order.
- 24 Q And you did modify or bring to the Court's attention
- 25 the fact that you were still maintaining this Hawaii Dala

1 | website?

A They didn't ask.

MR. ODULIO: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Michel, anything else from you?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MICHEL

Q Did you have a communication from your former attorney,
Deke Falls, around October 29th of last year and discussing
the Court's October 16th order where your attorney indicated
that --

MR. ODULIO: I know it is the defendant's privilege to waive any communications between him and his counsel, but I think I'd ask the Court either to make an inquiry of the defendant or just allow the government to put its concern in the record concerning any potentially privileged communications made by the defendant to prior counsel, and that disclosure -- and effective that disclosure in this litigation. So obviously the defense can do what they want. I just voice that concern.

THE COURT: Sir, communications between you and Mr. Falls when he was your attorney are privileged.

DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And if Mr. Michel is now asking you about those communications, you're in a position of waiving that privilege. Do you understand that?

- DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Yes, sir.
 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 3 DEFENDANT von NOTHAUS: Continue?

BY MR. MICHEL

- Q Well, this question relates to whether or not there's any confusion about the judge's order at that time. Do you remember that communication?
- 8 | A There was extensive communication about that.
- 9 Q And do you wish to testify to the Court about what your 10 attorney advised you about the confusion?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And would it assist you to have that correspondence in explaining --
- 14 A I'm sorry.
- 15 Q Would it assist you to have that correspondence?
- 16 A I'm sorry, I don't --
- 17 | Q Would it assist you to have that correspondence to refresh your memory about exactly what was said?
- 19 A Oh, yes. Oh, yes. Definitely.
- Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Defense
 Exhibit 2 and ask you if you recognize that?
- 22 A Yes. It's correspondence from Deke Falls to myself.
- Q And does that accurately relate what you were advised by him as far as the confusing nature of the order and
- 25 | efforts to try and get some clarification of it?

- Deke and I had several different 1 Absolutely. communications back and forth regarding the judge's order, 2 and this is one of -- actually several regarding --3
- Okay. And subsequent to that, did Deke receive any 5 6 clarification, to your knowledge, as -- your attorney as to whether the judge's order intended to prohibit the Tea Party 7 medallion?
 - Well, that was part of it, and that's what I mention here is -- the e-mail here is about the Tea Party Dollar motion clarification regarding your order, Your Honor; if we could distribute the Tea Party Dollars that had been ordered before the October the 16th amended terms to my appearance bond.
 - Okay. Are you familiar with the trade magazines in the numismatic trade?
- Very much some. 17 Α

regarding the order.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Is there a *Coin World* magazine? 18
- 19 Α Yes, sir.
- 20 Do you have any knowledge of what they consider to be a 21 coin?
- 22 Α Absolutely.
- 23 Q What would that be?
- 24 They have a stated fact sheet in terms of advertising 25 or a press release that they will not accept any advertising

or any press release whereby an item is referred to as a coin that is not issued by a government.

Q Okay.

MR. MICHEL: No more questions.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. ODULIO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may step down, sir.

Do you have any further evidence, Mr. Michel?

MR. MICHEL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the government want to be heard further?

MR. ODULIO: Just very briefly, Your Honor.

Your Honor, as reflected in the testimony, the defendant was plainly aware, understood the scope of the Court's October 16th, 2009 order. I think the testimony established that despite understanding the order, the defendant did not make Pretrial Services aware of the website.

In addition, Your Honor, as reflected in the testimony, the website was ongoing at that time. It's still ongoing. There is no mechanism for the public to be made aware of if they stumble upon that site that they could not place an order. In fact, the opposite is true. There's a mechanism where you can place an order with a company in Indianapolis, Indiana, and there's contact information there

to do that.

So based on that, the government would submit that it appears that the defendant is in violation of the -- the order, the Court's October 16th, 2009 order. We'd ask the Court to consider a range of options. Among other things, the Court can certainly order the defendant to take the website down. It would obviate this entire issue. The government is, however, concerned with the defendant's acknowledgement that he is nonetheless still receiving some royalties in connection with past activity.

We'd ask the Court to inquiry to ensure that -that the defendant is still not distributing other items.

The order, October 16th, '09 order, reflects coinage or
currency. I'm certainly not an expert in these matters, but
an plain reading of the Court's order I think would fairly
encompass the items reflected on the Hawaii Dala website.

And the defendant can try to parse as much as possible, but
I think he's aware, as well as the Court, the scope of this
order and the controversy around the Liberty Dollar or the
Tea Party coins, and certainly he was on notice that that
kind of conduct would be prohibited.

So for all those reasons, the government would submit that there is certainly enough evidence to support a finding of a violation and would defer to the Court in terms of fashioning a solution

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Michel?

MR. MICHEL: I'd just add that if there was a violation, it was not a willful violation. That if taking the website down is what will satisfy the Court, then we're certainly -- we'll get that done right away. It's his desire to comply with this Court's order and submission to the supervision of the pretrial services and to be fully cooperative with them.

THE COURT: Well, to review what's happened here that bring us forward to where we are today, in September, September 14 of '06, the United States Mint issued a warning concerning the Liberty Dollar. It was thereafter that the defendant and the co-defendants were indicted on the charges involving the Liberty Dollar.

When I set conditions of release in July of 2009,
I set as a condition of release -- and it's in the order, I
may not be quoting it exactly -- but that he be prohibited
from circulation, minting, production of the Liberty Dollar.
Then Mr. Falls, in September of '09, raised the issue of the
Tea Party Dollar and whether the defendant was able to do
anything with the Tea Party Dollar.

At that point -- and that's the October order,

October 16, '09. The Court entered the order and tried to

make it as clear as possible, since we now had not only the

Liberty Dollar but the Tea Party Dollar, and that order said

he's not to mint or circulate any coin or currency that's not produced by the U. S. Mint. Now, that's about as clear as the Court could make it.

2.

He appealed that to Judge Voorhees and Judge Voorhees upheld that as a condition of his bond. So that's the condition that's in effect.

Then in March of 2010 Judge Keesler entered an order permitting the defendant to relocate to Hawaii. And then in May of this year is when the violation report was filed, and the violation centered on the condition I referred to earlier; the condition prohibiting him from minting or producing coins or currency, or circulating coins or currency that were not produced by the U. S. Mint. And the probation officer reported the Hawaii Dala, D-A-L-A, and the website, www.hawaiidala.com.

Now upon receipt of the violation report, the

Court has looked at that website since that website was

referred to as the alleged violation. That website

presently refers to the defendant as, quote, "The monetary

architect for the Liberty Dollar." And it describes the

Hawaii Dala as a "new private, voluntary barter currency

that is 100 percent backed and 100 percent redeemable in

pure gold and silver." And it then contains a picture -
and I don't know whether that's an exhibit that the

government handed up or not, but it contains a picture of a

paper currency that contains the words "negotiable, one dollar silver certificate," then in the place where the treasury secretary would sign a legitimate United States dollar, the defendant's signature is there.

That website says "The Hawaii dala is local currency designed to support and grow the local business community. The Hawaii dala is called," quote, "'just another form of money.'" It goes on to say, "The Hawaii Dala is," quote, "'just as easy to use as the U. S. dollar.'" And this is where the evidence that's out there conflicts with what the defendant says in court today, because he's parsing words about what is a coin and what isn't. But it's clear on this website that this is being promoted as money to be exchanged in commerce.

Now, the government did allude to this: There's another website called Silver Liberty Marketing, and that website not only has the Hawaii Dala for sale, it has the Tea Party Dollar for sale. And, of course, he was prohibited from involvement with the Tea Party Dollar since the October 16, 2009 order.

The Liberty Dollar website is still up. It still has his photograph, and it happens the current date on it. And in that website there's a statement from the defendant where he says, "Hawaii is a wonderful place, but raising a family in the high-priced islands was tough. That's why I

created the Liberty Dollar." So since he relocated to Hawaii in March of 2010, he's still promoting the Liberty Dollar.

So to the Court, it's as clear as it can be that despite the Court orders and the conditions of release, the defendant has continued to be involved in the circulation and promoting the circulation of money that is not produced by the U. S. Mint. The Liberty Dollar website also says the Liberty Dollar is legitimate.

So in looking at each of these episodes here, websites, it's all the same thing. And the Court concludes that the defendant has violated that condition of his release.

And the Court further concludes further by clear and convincing evidence that he, based on his past track record, is unlikely to abide by any condition or combination of conditions of release. So I'm going to order his pretrial release revoked, and he's remanned to the custody of the marshal.

MR. MICHEL: Your Honor, we which to appeal that --

THE COURT: All right, sir.

MR. MICHEL: -- with Judge Voorhees, and we would ask the Court to stay that until we've had a opportunity to appeal.

THE COURT: And obviously he has the right to appeal. I'm going to deny the motion to stay it for these reasons: He's a resident of Hawaii. He has no ties to this community. And as I've alluded to in this ruling, in this Court's view he has repeatedly violated the conditions of his release, including the order that was originally entered back in July of 2009 about the Liberty Dollar. So given those factors, obviously he can -- he can take appeal with Judge Voorhees, but I'm going to deny the motion to stay. THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I address the Court? THE COURT: No, sir. Thank you, counsel. All right. (Hearing concluded at 3:21:25 p.m.)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JOY KELLY, RPR, CRR, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription from the digitally recorded proceedings transcribed by me to the best of my ability in the above-entitled matter.

S/ JOY KELLY

Date

JOY KELLY, RPR, CRR U.S. Official Court Reporter Charlotte, NC